IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 1-28 without prejudice or disclaimer and add new claims 29 - 51 as follows:

1 - 28. (Cancelled)

- 29. (New) A device for automated evolutionary assistance to air traffic computer including a software program permitting the receipt of data for equipping an air traffic control system including flight plans of aircraft and Radars and elaborating and displaying them to air traffic controllers, the controllers having a radiotelephony link for communicating with the aircraft, the device comprising:
 - means for establishing a data-link with the aircraft;
- means for establishing and updating a computer agenda, which is a list of the aircrafts' conflicts, of potential conflicts on the basis of all the information and computation means of the computer;
- means for automatically collecting, via said data-link, in on-board aircraft computers, complementary data for establishing said computer agenda;
- means for selecting potential conflicts on crossing trajectories which can be solved by modification(s) of aircraft speed, climbing or descending

Office Action dated: November 13, 2008

Amendment A dated: April 13, 2009

rates, lateral shift of route, said modification(s) being so minor as to not interfere

with current controllers' decision making processes;

- means for automatically transmitting said modification(s) via said

data-link to said selected aircraft without controllers' prior agreement, said

modifications of flight parameters staying within limits of the fuzziness of the

controllers' vision and thereby being "subliminal" to the controllers;

- means for executing said modifications by automating means in

said selected aircraft; and

- means for establishing, displaying and updating a controllers'

agenda.

30. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for elaborating optimal solutions to potential conflict figuring in said

computer agenda.

(New) The device according to claim 29, further including 31.

means for determining in real time among conflicts within said controllers' agenda

those which are false conflicts and displaying the false conflicts on a display of a

sector in charge of implied aircraft.

Office Action dated: November 13, 2008

Amendment A dated: April 13, 2009

32. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for updating potential conflicts into said computer agenda even before

implied aircraft have entered in a control sector in which the conflict could

happen.

33. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for selecting in said computer agenda particularly sensitive conflicts that

lead to the occurrence of conflict clusters that are difficult to solve.

34. (New) The device according to claim 33, further including

means for proposing solution(s) for avoiding such occurrence on a display screen

of controllers presently in charge of the aircraft when said conflicts only occur in a

following sector.

35. (New) The device according to claim 33, further including

means for proposing to controllers, transfer conditions of an aircraft to a following

sector.

Office Action dated: November 13, 2008

Amendment A dated: April 13, 2009

36. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for displaying to controllers' icons in bi-univocal relationship with aircraft

pairs on said controllers' agenda, said icons serving as a virtual keyboard for

addressing in return specific messages to the computer concerning said aircraft

pairs.

37. (New) The device according to claim 36, further including

means for displaying the aircraft pairs of said controller agenda, a specific icon

that makes displaying the virtual keyboard specifically adapted to the situation

when designated by the controllers.

38. (New) The device according to claim 30 further including

means for displaying on said controllers' agenda an icon that indicates the

controllers' desire to know the solution(s) elaborated by the computer and means

for informing said computer of the chosen solution when designated by controllers

or assistant controllers.

39. (New) The device according to claim 38, further including

means for automatically transferring the chosen solution to concerned aircraft for

execution.

Office Action dated: November 13, 2008

Amendment A dated: April 13, 2009

40. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for displaying on the controllers' display screen, close to the display of

each potential conflict, the time left before the actual conflict and/or the separation

at the crossing of the trajectories.

41. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for displaying on the controllers' display screen moments of occurrence of

potential conflicts still to be solved on a time graduated axis.

42. (New) The device according to claim 41, wherein the

displaying means of the display of the occurrence moments are such that the

controller can add the moment when they estimate to have to check the state of

each problem.

43. (New) The device according to claim 29, further including

means for elaborating a display making appear each aircraft pair in potential

conflict on the form of a point and of its speed vector, the coordinates of said point

being respectively the delay between the present moment and the moment when

said aircraft pairs will have a minimum longitudinal separation, and in ordinates

the separation distance at this moment.

Office Action dated: November 13, 2008

Amendment A dated: April 13, 2009

44. (New) The device according claim 43, wherein said device

is further arranged for associating a label providing any necessary data concerning

the aircraft with the point representing the aircraft pair.

The device according to claim 43, wherein said 45. (New)

device is further arranged for associating an indicator giving their vertical

separation when their horizontal separation will be minimum with the point

representing the aircraft pair.

46. (New) The device according to claim 43, wherein a

designation by a controller of an aircraft on any display screen makes the aircraft

and an aircraft conflicting with it appear on other display screens.

47. (New) The device according to claim 39 further including

means for receiving from said aircraft data confirming the proper execution of

instructions.

48. (New) The device according to claim 47, further including

means for sending a message to two conflicting aircraft for sub-delegating to the

conflicting aircraft the responsibility of insuring their safe separation by their own

means according to clearances defined by said device and chosen among a set of

possible conflict resolution manoeuvres.

49. (New) The device according to claim 48, further including

means for insuring automatic display of the delegated conflict, so that said

controllers' agenda provides a permanent monitoring board displaying a list of the

delegated conflicts and a list of potential conflicts still to be solved.

50. (New) An automated process for evolutionary assistance to

air traffic controllers performed in an air traffic control system comprising a

computer programmed for:

- receiving data concerning aircraft flight plans and Radars and

elaborating and displaying them to controllers, said controllers having a

radiotelephony link for communicating with aircraft complemented with a data-

link for communication between ground and aircraft computers;

- elaborating and displaying controller agendas;

- establishing and updating of a computer agenda, which is a list of

the aircrafts' conflicts, of problems on the basis of all the data and computing

means available to the computer;

agendas and said computer agenda to make appearing forecast disparities between

the two said agendas;

- selecting those of the problems retained by the controllers which

only result from lack of accuracy of the forecast as made by the controllers;

- selecting problems which can be solved by modification(s) of

aircraft speed, climbing or descending rates, lateral shift of route so minor as they

do not interfere with current controllers' decision-making processes; and

- transferring said modification(s) via data-link to said aircraft as

clearances for being executed by auto-pilot means within said aircraft without

controllers' prior authorization as the execution of said clearance stays within

limits of the fuzziness of the controllers' vision and therefore is "subliminal" to

the controllers; and

- establishing, displaying and updating a controllers' agenda.

51. (New) The process according to claim 50, further including

elaboration of optimal solutions to conflicts and exchanges of messages (i)

between the computer and controllers and (ii) between the computer and aircraft

concerning conflict avoidance.